## Single-cell Genome-and-Transcriptome (Gtag\&T) sequencing without upfront whole-genome amplification reveals cell state plasticity of melanoma subclones
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Gtag: a method for direct library preparation of single cell genomes without upfront whole-genome amplification (WGA)


Gtag\&T reduces the cost (3X) in comparison to G\&T, while increasing breadth of coverage and reducing noise


$>0.006 x$ coverage per single cell (= 400,000 mapping reads)
$>$ Copy-number calling in bins of 500.000 mappable positions
> Presence of 3 subclones
> Focal amplicons on chromosome 13 and 22


- Presence
- Copy-number
- Size
> Breakpoint detection at near-basepair resolution


## 22q11.21 amplicon shows clear gene dosage effects and is reversely correlated with expression of pigmentation markers

## Chr13



## Phylogenetic reconstruction of tumour evolution with transcriptomic information at single-cell level with Gtag\&T

## Limited resolution and accuracy of

 transcriptome-based DNA copy number inference methods
> Cell states of Rambow et. al (2018) were assigned based on the transcriptome (Invasive, NCSC, SMC \& Pigmented)
$\checkmark$ Absence of NCSC state in subclone $C$
$\checkmark$ SMC \& Invasive state present in all subclones

> InferCNV was used to obtain CNAs based on the transcriptome information and compared with the scDNA data from $G(t a g) \& T$
$\checkmark$ Sensitivity: 48\%
$\checkmark$ Specificity: 90\%
> Enrichment of subclone C at MRD

- Enrichment of amplicons in subclone C at MRD

